



**XAVIER  
HEALTH**

# Combination Products Summit

## Inspection Readiness/Expectations – 21 CFR 4

Nov 3, 2016



# Single Entity Combination Product Manufactured and Marketed by BestEver

Product: Prefilled Injector/Auto Injector Combination Product

PMOA: Drug

Company/Facility	Description
InjectCMOcomponent1	US device component/sub-assembly CMO facility
InjectCMOcomponent2	EU device component/sub-assembly CMO facility
BestEverDesign	US CP design controls facility, MAH, medical device mfg.
BestEverFill	US drug filling facility
BestEverAssemble1	US CP assembly/labeling/pkg facility
BestEverAssemble2	EU CP assembly/labeling/pkg facility
CMOAssemble	US CP assembly/labeling/pkg CMO facility
BestEverStorage	US CP holding, storage & distribution facility

Note: EU facilities supply to US markets as well

# Case Study Questions

Which sites are subject to Part 4?

Company/Facility	Description	Part 4
InjectCMOcomponent1	US device component/sub-assembly CMO facility	No
InjectCMOcomponent2	EU device component/sub-assembly CMO facility	No
BestEverDesign	US CP design controls facility, MAH	Yes
BestEverFill	US drug filling facility	No
BestEverAssemble1	US CP assembly/labeling/pkg facility	Yes
BestEverAssemble2	EU CP assembly/labeling/pkg facility	Yes
CMOAssemble	US CP assembly/labeling/pkg CMO facility	Yes
BestEverStorage	US CP holding, storage & distribution facility	Yes <sup>3</sup>

# Case Study Question

---

- What cGMP operating system may be used at various facilities?

# cGMP Operating System Example

BestEverAssembleX, CMOAssemble, BestEverStorage: drug cGMP Operating System

21 CFR 820	Quality Element	Applicability
21 CFR 820.20	Management Responsibility	Yes
21 CFR 820.30	Design Controls	N/A
21 CFR 820.50	Purchasing Controls	Yes
21 CFR 820.100	CAPA	Yes
21 CFR 820.170	Installation	N/A
21 CFR 820.200	Servicing	N/A

BestEverDesign: 820 QS Regulation Operating System

21 CFR 211	Quality Element	Applicability
21 CFR 211.84	Testing and approval or rejection of components, drug product containers and closures	N/A
21 CFR 211.103	Calculation of yield	N/A
21 CFR 211.132	Tamper evident packaging requirements for OTC human drug products	N/A
21 CFR 211.137	Expiration dating	Yes
21 CFR 211.165	Testing and release for distribution	N/A
21 CFR 211.166	Stability testing	Yes
21 CFR 211.167	Special testing requirements	N/A
21 CFR 211.170	Reserve samples	N/A

# Case Study Question

---

Who is responsible for ensuring compliance with all of the cGMP requirements applicable to the product?

CP Sponsor/Applicant/Marketing  
Authorization Holder (MAH)

# Case Study Question

---

What are the individual sites responsible for?

Individual sites are responsible for compliance with all cGMP requirements applicable to the manufacturing process that occurs at that facility

# Case Study Question

---

Where could the streamlined approach be documented?

- Premarket submissions
- Quality manual
- Quality agreement between Applicant and Sites



# Case Study Question

What are some key responsibilities associated with the Applicant/Sponsor/MAH?

- Oversight and coordinate across all facilities
- Ensure compliance with all CGMP requirements applicable to the product
- Quality agreement between applicant and facilities
- Audit of all facilities
- Management Reviews
- Establishing mutual access to CAPA, Change Controls, Complaints, Documentation etc.

# Panel Discussion





# Panel Discussion

What are some successful practices on how companies manage differences across plant sites to systematize the quality systems related to the combination products?

## Comments from the Solution Xchange:

- The drug and device quality systems don't feed into each other easily
- There are differences in drug and device supply chain management practices, expectations and requirements
- Suggest having early meetings with FDA to understand expectations



# Panel Discussion

For companies with legacy combination products, how do you retrospectively develop your Design History Files or stability programs?

# Live Polling

## Goal to provide feedback to FDA on:

- Inconsistencies experienced in practice on how inspections are conducted for Combination Products
- The impact of those inconsistencies related to emphasis or de-emphasis placed on combination products regulation across the industry and within companies

# Live Polling

[live.voxvote.com](https://live.voxvote.com)

Pin 85174

# Screen Shot **After** you Vote



event

85174

Set your (nick) name >

## Xavier Health group

Alumni Office

Waiting for Marla to start the next question

Thanks for answering the question.

Waiting for a new question



Leave event

Do not click!

To reduce battery resources you can leave this event and come back on the next question(s)

# Polling Question

**What has been your experience regarding inspections?**

- Drug-led inspections focus on product filing, but not CFR 4
- Drug-led inspections focus on CFR 211, but not CFR 4
- Device-led inspections focus on product filing, but not CFR 4
- Device-led inspections focus on CFR 820, but not CFR 4
- We have actually had an inspection against CFR 4
- I'm not sure





# Panel Discussion

1. What are your reactions to the polling results?
2. What risks do you see associated with the polling results?

# Questions

---

